(83)

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### W. P.(S) No. 1541 of 2007

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Petitioner | : | Hari Shanker Patel, S/o Nakul Prasad Patel, Aged about 49 years, Assistant Teacher Govt. Middle School Tarapur Block-Raigarh Distt. Raigarh [C.G.] |
| | Versus | |
| Respondents | | 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through:- Secretary Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.) |
| | | 2. The Director, Public instruction, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.) |
| | | 3. Joint Director, Public Instruction Division, Raigarh (C.G.) |
| | | 4. Assistant Commissioner Tribal Welfare Department Raigarh [C.G.] |
| | | 5. District Education Officer Distt. Raigarh [C.G.] |
| | | 6. Block Education Officer Block Raigarh Distt. Raigarh [C.G.] |

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

---

Present: Mr. Sanjay Patel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for State/respondents.

---

**O R D E R**

(Passed on this 16th Day of March, 2007)

1. The petitioner was appointed vide order dated 15.10.1986 (Annexure P/1) as Assistant Teacher on fixed pay scale of Rs. 300/-. After completion of one year probation, he was granted regular pay scale of Rs. 545-975/-.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the case is asto whether, the petitioner is entitled to regular

pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

3. The issue involved, herein was considered by the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in O.A. No. 2745/89 (Madhukant Yadu and 56 others Vs. State of M.P. & others), wherein it was held that the similarly situated teachers are entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment. The matter was taken-up to the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 6892/93. The said petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court upholding the decision of the Tribunal to the effect that the Teachers/Assistant Teachers are entitled to regular pay scale from date of initial appointment.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits that this Court, while considering the same issue in Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others (W.P. (S) No. 5976 of 2006) by order dated 7-11-2006, has granted regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that this case is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others (Supra).

6. In view of that this petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

**Sd/-**
**Satish K. Agnihotri**
**Judge**